International Journal of Clinical Trials
Joe B et al. Int J Clin Trials. 2025 Nov;12(4):362-368
http://www.ijclinicaltrials.com
pISSN 2349-3240 | eISSN 2349-3259
DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2349-3259.ijct20253342

Review Article

The impact of workplace stress on nursing professionals' well-being and balance: a silent killer

Binu Joe[1], B. Kalyani[2], Raja Ganapathy[3], Chetanmala K.[4], Anjani Devi Nelavala[5], Rajveer S. Khatodiya[6], Deepa N. R.[7], Mohammed Umar[8]*

[1]Department of Child Health Nursing, Murlidhar College of Nursing, Rajkot, Gujarat, India
[2]Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecological Nursing, Dr. C. Sobhanadri Siddhartha School of Nursing, Chinnoutpalli, Vijayawada, Andhra Pradesh, India
[3]Department of Mental Health Nursing, Dhaman Health Assurance Hospital, Kuwait City, Kuwait
[4]Department of Community Health Nursing, College of Nursing RIMS, Imphal, Manipur, India
[5]Department of Mental Health Nursing, Narayana College of Nursing, Nellore, Andhra Pradesh, India
[6]Department of Forensic Nursing, Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot, Punjab, India
[7]Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecological Nursing, ESIC College of Nursing, Kalaburagi, Karnataka, India
[8]Department of Nursing, Uttar Pradesh University of Medical Sciences, Saifai, Etawah, Uttar Pradesh, India

Received: 20 July 2025
Accepted: 18 September 2025

***Correspondence:**
Mohammed Umar,
E-mail: umarrathore0786@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Workplace stress is a pervasive threat to the physical and psychological health of nursing professionals, affecting their well-being, work-life balance, and patient outcomes. The demanding nature of the nursing profession, particularly in resource-constrained environments, places nurses at high risk for chronic stress. This umbrella concept analysis aims to synthesize and analyze existing conceptual literature on workplace stress and its implications for the well-being and balance of nursing professionals. A systematic umbrella review methodology was employed, retrieving reviews and concept analyses from five databases (PubMed, CINAHL, Scopus, PsycINFO, Web of Science). A total of 43 eligible studies (systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and concept analyses) published between 2000 and 2024 were analyzed. Conceptual frameworks were mapped using Walker and Avant's and Rodgers' evolutionary methods of concept analysis. Common antecedents of workplace stress include high workload, role ambiguity, poor leadership, and organizational injustice. Consequences span psychological distress, burnout, emotional exhaustion, physical illness, and attrition. Key attributes include chronic exposure to stressors, emotional labor, and perceived lack of control. Interventions such as mindfulness-based stress reduction, improved leadership, and supportive work environments show moderate success. Workplace stress remains a silent but potent killer of nursing well-being. Clear conceptual understanding, proactive policy measures, and system-wide interventions are needed to safeguard nurse health and sustain quality care.

Keywords: Workplace stress, Nursing professionals, Well-being, Work-life balance, Concept analysis, Burnout

INTRODUCTION

Nursing, the backbone of global healthcare systems, is recognized for its complex, emotionally charged, and physically demanding nature. Nurses are not only caregivers but also educators, advocates, and coordinators of care who work in high-pressure environments with rapidly shifting priorities.

Despite their critical role, nurses globally experience a disproportionate burden of workplace stress, which has profound implications on their mental health, physical

well-being, professional satisfaction, and the quality of care delivered.[1-3]

Workplace stress, also referred to as occupational stress, arises when there is a misalignment between the demands of the job and the resources or capabilities of the individual to cope with those demands.[4] In nursing, this imbalance is exacerbated by factors such as long working hours, understaffing, emotional labor, ethical dilemmas, lack of autonomy, poor leadership, and exposure to patient suffering and death.[5] Stress in nursing is often chronic and cumulative, leading to psychological exhaustion, burnout, physical ailments, absenteeism, and in extreme cases, suicide.[6-7]

The World Health Organization (WHO) has designated workplace stress as a global epidemic, identifying healthcare workers—especially nurses—as a high-risk group.[8] In its International Year of the Nurse and Midwife report, the WHO emphasized the pressing need to improve the working conditions and mental health support for nurses to sustain the integrity of healthcare systems.[9] In resource-limited settings such as India and other low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), these challenges are magnified by systemic inadequacies, limited policy attention, and sociocultural undervaluation of the nursing profession.[10,11]

Historically, workplace stress in nursing has been under-theorized and under-measured. Despite the surge in stress-related research, conceptual clarity remains elusive. Numerous terms—such as burnout, compassion fatigue, emotional exhaustion, and moral distress—are often used interchangeably with workplace stress, yet each possesses distinct theoretical and practical nuances.[12-13] Without a coherent conceptual framework, it becomes difficult for policymakers, hospital administrators, and educators to implement targeted and effective interventions. Furthermore, interventions often fail to address systemic root causes, focusing instead on individual-level coping strategies which, although important, are insufficient in isolation.[14]

The concept of stress itself has evolved significantly over time. Hans Selye's early work in the 1930s defined stress as "the nonspecific response of the body to any demand for change".[15] Lazarus and Folkman later introduced the Transactional Model of Stress and Coping, emphasizing the role of individual perception, cognitive appraisal, and coping mechanisms in determining stress outcomes.[16] These foundational theories have informed various models tailored to occupational contexts, including the job demand-control (JDC) model and effort-reward imbalance (ERI) model, which have been widely applied in nursing research to understand how work structure and control mediate stress.[17,18]

Yet, beyond these quantitative and theoretical studies lies a significant gap in synthesizing how the concept of workplace stress is specifically experienced, defined, and operationalized in the context of nursing professionals. As stress research in nursing becomes increasingly interdisciplinary—integrating insights from psychology, sociology, occupational health, and feminist theory—a need arises for conceptual consolidation and clarity. This is where umbrella concept analysis becomes particularly useful.

An umbrella concept analysis is a methodology that aggregates and evaluates multiple concept analyses and reviews on a particular construct to develop a refined understanding of its dimensions, boundaries, antecedents, attributes, consequences, and related terms.[19] It not only provides definitional clarity but also reveals trends, inconsistencies, and contextual variations in how the concept is employed in the literature. Through this approach, researchers can highlight areas where theoretical misalignment has hindered effective policy or intervention development, and they can advocate for more precise measurement and evidence-informed solutions.[20]

Understanding workplace stress from a concept analysis perspective is particularly important in the post-COVID-19 era. The pandemic highlighted nurses' indispensable role in crisis response, but it also exposed them to unprecedented levels of trauma, moral distress, and physical exhaustion.[21,22] Numerous studies post-2020 have documented alarming rates of anxiety, PTSD, and suicidal ideation among nurses, especially those working in intensive care units or COVID-19 designated wards.[23,24] This public health crisis has made it clear that ignoring workplace stress in nursing is no longer viable—it is a "silent killer" that undermines not only the health of nurses but also the safety and efficiency of health systems as a whole.[25]

Moreover, workplace stress has significant gendered dimensions. The global nursing workforce is predominantly female (over 90%), and the interplay between professional stress and societal expectations of caregiving and family responsibilities can deepen the psychological burden on women nurses.[26] The concept of work-life imbalance, often experienced as guilt, fatigue, or conflict, is rarely disentangled from workplace stress but is an integral part of the nurse's stress experience.[27]

In the Indian context, workplace stress among nurses is aggravated by cultural hierarchies within hospitals, caste and gender dynamics, lack of professional recognition, and limited scope for independent practice.[28,29] Recent reports indicate that Indian nurses often face verbal abuse, physical threats, and emotional neglect, leading to high levels of burnout and job dissatisfaction.[30] Despite these realities, stress is still underreported and poorly documented due to stigma and a cultural emphasis on endurance.[31]

This umbrella concept analysis, titled "The impact of workplace stress on nursing professionals' well-being and balance: a silent killer," aims to systematically review,

compare, and synthesize existing conceptualizations of workplace stress in nursing literature. By mapping the core attributes, antecedents, consequences, and empirical referents of workplace stress, this article intends to produce a coherent and actionable understanding that informs health policy, nursing education, and institutional reforms.

Ultimately, acknowledging, defining, and addressing workplace stress is not a matter of theoretical interest alone—it is a critical step in safeguarding the health of a workforce that forms the very foundation of patient care.

METHODS

Design and framework

This umbrella concept analysis employed a two-pronged methodology combining elements of an umbrella review and a formal concept analysis approach. The goal was to synthesize, clarify, and critically examine how "workplace stress" in the nursing profession is conceptually defined, theorized, and operationalized in peer-reviewed literature.

The study adopted the Walker and Avant's concept analysis model and Rodgers' evolutionary method to identify the defining attributes, antecedents, consequences, surrogate terms, related concepts, and empirical referents of workplace stress in the nursing context.[1,2] The umbrella review framework allowed for a high-level synthesis across multiple systematic reviews and concept analyses, enhancing generalizability and conceptual coherence.

Data sources and search strategy

A structured and comprehensive search was conducted across five electronic databases: PubMed, CINAHL, Scopus, PsycINFO, and Web of Science. Search terms and MeSH headings were developed using Boolean logic and included: "Workplace stress" OR "occupational stress" AND "nursing" OR "nurses" OR "nursing professionals" AND "concept analysis" OR "systematic review" OR "meta-analysis" OR "theory synthesis" AND "burnout" OR "emotional exhaustion" OR "work-life balance".

The search was limited to articles published in English between January 2015 and March 2025 to ensure relevance to contemporary nursing practice. Manual reference checks of included articles were also conducted to ensure saturation.

Inclusion criteria

In this research the inclusion criteria included systematic reviews, scoping reviews, meta-analyses, or formal concept analyses related to workplace stress in nurses, studies with an explicit theoretical or conceptual framework, and studies that focused on nursing professionals in hospital, community, or academic settings.

Exclusion criteria

Exclusion criteria included primary studies without synthesis or conceptual focus, grey literature, editorials, conference abstracts, or non-peer-reviewed sources, and studies focusing exclusively on other healthcare workers (e.g., physicians) unless nurses were a discrete subgroup.

Study selection process

All search results were uploaded to Rayyan.ai for blinded screening. Two independent reviewers (U.M. and A.S.) screened titles and abstracts for relevance. Any disagreements were resolved through consensus.

Of the 1,287 articles initially identified, 103 full texts were retrieved. A total of 43 studies met all inclusion criteria and were included in the final synthesis.

Data extraction and synthesis

A data extraction matrix was developed to capture: citation details, aim and scope, theoretical/conceptual frameworks used, definitions and attributes of workplace stress, antecedents and consequences, empirical referents (tools, measures), interventions or policy recommendations.

The extracted data were analyzed using thematic synthesis aligned with the concept analysis framework. Definitions and constructs were coded and categorized iteratively. Key themes were verified through discussion among authors to ensure consistency and reduce bias.

RESULTS

In the study the included studies of the 43 included studies: 19 were systematic reviews, 11 were scoping reviews, 8 were meta-analyses, 5 were formal concept analyses. The majority were conducted in high-income countries (e.g., USA, UK, Canada, Australia), while only 6 were from LMICs, including India, Brazil, and South Africa. Most studies focused on hospital-based nurses, particularly in emergency and critical care settings.

Defining attributes of workplace stress

The most frequently identified defining attributes of workplace stress in nursing included: chronic emotional and physical tension due to role demands, perceived imbalance between demands and resources, high emotional labor, particularly in patient-centered care, ethical and moral distress in end-of-life decision-making or resource scarcity, low autonomy and organizational injustice, including lack of recognition, and lack of support from supervisors and co-workers.[3-8]

These attributes converged across different review types, suggesting a high level of consensus despite varied methodologies.

Antecedents of workplace stress

Organizational antecedents

It included: understaffing and inadequate nurse-patient ratios; shift work, long hours, and lack of breaks; inconsistent or unsupportive leadership; and poor physical working environments (e.g., overcrowding, lack of resources).[9-12]

Professional antecedents

Role ambiguity, excessive administrative work; emotional dissonance and patient suffering; and bullying, lateral violence, and inter-professional conflict.[13-15]

Sociocultural antecedents

It included: gender bias, especially in patriarchal or hierarchical cultures; stigma around mental health in the nursing profession; and cultural expectations of "resilience" and "sacrifice" in caregiving roles.[16-18]

Consequences of workplace stress

Workplace stress had multi-level consequences.

Individual-level consequences

Mental health: anxiety, depression, suicidal ideation; physical health: fatigue, insomnia, cardiovascular issues; and professional outcomes: absenteeism, intent to leave, reduced job satisfaction.[19-21]

System-level consequences

It included increased medical errors, lower patient satisfaction, higher nurse turnover and staffing costs.[22-24]

Related concepts

Several closely related or surrogate concepts were identified as burnout (emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and reduced personal accomplishment), moral distress (when nurses cannot act according to their ethical beliefs), compassion fatigue (secondary trauma from patient care), and work-life imbalance (conflicts between professional duties and personal life).[25-28]

These were often entangled in the literature, further supporting the need for conceptual delineation.

Conceptual models in use

Frequently cited models/frameworks were job demand-control-support (JDCS) model, effort-reward imbalance (ERI) model, transactional stress-coping model, ecological systems theory (in LMIC contexts).[29-32]

These models were inconsistently applied, and many lacked integrations with gender, culture, or structural power dynamics.

Empirical referents and measurement tools

The following tools were commonly used to assess workplace stress or related constructs: nursing stress scale (NSS), Maslach burnout inventory (MBI), professional quality of life scale (ProQOL), and perceived stress scale (PSS).

However, none fully captured all attributes identified in this umbrella analysis.

DISCUSSION

This umbrella concept analysis demonstrates that workplace stress among nurses is a multifaceted, dynamic, and context-sensitive phenomenon. While the underlying causes of stress are universal—high workloads, emotional demands, and lack of support—nurses' experiences of stress are deeply shaped by cultural, institutional, and socio-political factors.[33]

The analysis affirms that workplace stress cannot be reduced to a personal failing or isolated psychological issue. Instead, it is a structurally embedded occupational hazard that requires systemic and multi-level interventions.[34]

Theoretical and conceptual gaps

Despite decades of research, conceptual ambiguity remains. The overuse of terms like burnout, moral distress, and compassion fatigue dilutes the distinctiveness of workplace stress. Many conceptual models lack intersectional perspectives—few explicitly address how gender, race, or class shape stress experiences in nursing.[35]

Moreover, while the JDCS and ERI models are useful, they originate from industrial psychology and may not adequately reflect the relational and ethical dimensions of caregiving work in nursing. There is a need for nursing-specific theoretical models that reflect both emotional labor and institutional injustice.

Implications for practice and policy

To address workplace stress effectively: organizational interventions should include better staffing, transparent leadership, and peer support systems.[36] Psychosocial support: counseling, mindfulness programs, and stress debriefing should be normalized.[37] Education: nurse training curricula should integrate resilience, boundary-setting, and emotional self-care.[38] Policy reform: governments and hospitals must mandate safe staffing ratios, mental health coverage, and anti-bullying policies.[39]

Workplace stress is not merely an HR issue; it is a public health concern with legal, ethical, and economic implications. Left unaddressed, it undermines healthcare quality, safety, and equity.

Implications for research explore cross-cultural differences in the perception and experience of workplace stress. Develop standardized, culturally relevant tools to measure nursing-specific stress. Conduct longitudinal studies to assess how stress evolves over a career. Examine the impact of structural reforms on stress mitigation. Apply intersectional analysis to uncover hidden burdens among marginalized nurses (e.g., rural, community, LGBTQ+).

Limitations

While this umbrella concept analysis provides a comprehensive synthesis of conceptual literature on workplace stress among nursing professionals, several limitations must be acknowledged. First, the analysis was restricted to English-language peer-reviewed articles, which may have led to the exclusion of valuable non-English studies, especially from non-Western or LMIC contexts. This language bias may limit the global applicability of findings. Second, although this study drew from five major databases and applied systematic inclusion criteria, it relied primarily on published reviews and concept analyses. Consequently, primary empirical studies, particularly qualitative insights into nurses' lived experiences, were not directly included, possibly omitting emerging perspectives not yet synthesized at the review level. Third, the umbrella review approach, while effective for high-level synthesis, may inherently dilute context-specific nuances, especially in settings where structural, cultural, or gender-specific variables uniquely shape stress responses and coping mechanisms. Fourth, the heterogeneity in theoretical frameworks and the variable quality of included studies made direct comparison challenging. Some reviews lacked transparency in their conceptual definitions or failed to apply formal concept analysis frameworks, which may have introduced definitional inconsistency.

Finally, despite attempts to mitigate reviewer bias through blinded screening and consensus discussion, subjectivity in theme identification and interpretation remains a potential limitation of concept synthesis research.

Future reviews should aim for broader language inclusion, triangulation with primary qualitative data, and standardized conceptual methodologies to improve cross-contextual validity and theoretical robustness.

Synthesis

This umbrella concept analysis offers a consolidated and clarified understanding of workplace stress in nursing as a multidimensional and systemic construct. By aggregating findings across 43 high-quality reviews and concept analyses, this study identified core attributes—emotional labor, role strain, ethical distress, and organizational injustice—that consistently define the experience of stress among nurses. The analysis revealed a consensus on key antecedents such as inadequate staffing, leadership deficits, cultural expectations, and interprofessional conflict. Consequences at both individual (burnout, mental illness, turnover intent) and systemic levels (reduced patient safety, quality degradation, economic costs) demonstrate the urgency of addressing this phenomenon. Importantly, this review also highlighted theoretical fragmentation, with terms like burnout, moral distress, and compassion fatigue often used interchangeably. This conceptual ambiguity weakens the precision of intervention design and policy advocacy. The lack of intersectional analysis in most frameworks further limits relevance in culturally diverse settings such as India, where caste, gender, and professional hierarchies intersect to shape occupational experiences.

The synthesis suggests that current models such as the JDCS and ERI, though foundational, are insufficient on their own to explain the moral and emotional labor central to nursing work. There is a clear need for nursing-specific theoretical models that integrate emotional labor theory, feminist ethics, and organizational justice perspectives. In conclusion, this concept analysis provides a strong foundation for the development of standardized measurement tools, culturally sensitive interventions, and policy frameworks that centres the lived realities of nurses. It advances the dialogue toward treating workplace stress not as a personal failing, but as an institutional responsibility and a professional imperative for sustainable healthcare.

CONCLUSION

Workplace stress in the nursing profession is not a transient inconvenience but a deeply entrenched and complex phenomenon that exerts long-term effects on individual well-being, organizational performance, and patient care outcomes. This umbrella concept analysis, drawing from over four decades of scholarly discourse and synthesizing findings from 43 reviews and concept analyses, has identified critical components of workplace stress including emotional labor, chronic overload, low autonomy, ethical conflict, and inadequate support systems. These stressors, often normalized in healthcare environments, constitute a "silent killer" that steadily erodes the psychological resilience, physical health, and professional identity of nurses.

This analysis confirms that stress in nursing is not merely a product of personal vulnerability or lack of coping skills; rather, it is a systemic issue born of structural inefficiencies, poor leadership, resource constraints, and cultural undervaluation of caregiving labor. The consequences are severe—ranging from burnout and compassion fatigue to increased turnover, absenteeism, and deteriorating patient outcomes. Particularly in low-

and middle-income countries like India, where nurses often face disproportionate burdens without institutional support, the urgency to act is critical. Addressing workplace stress requires a paradigm shift from reactive, individual-centered solutions toward proactive, organizational reforms. Institutions must implement evidence-based interventions such as workload redistribution, structured peer support, debriefing mechanisms, leadership development, and mental health services. Policymakers and healthcare leaders must prioritize nurse well-being as an ethical and strategic imperative rather than a secondary concern. Furthermore, a unified conceptual understanding of workplace stress is essential to standardize assessments, guide targeted interventions, and foster cross-cultural applicability. Future research should integrate intersectional perspectives and explore stress in underrepresented settings, particularly among community nurses, rural healthcare workers, and minority populations. In conclusion, nursing professionals are the linchpins of healthcare delivery. Ensuring their mental, emotional, and physical well-being is not optional—it is foundational to building resilient, equitable, and high-quality health systems. Workplace stress must no longer be treated as an individual burden but recognized as a collective responsibility and a critical public health issue that demands urgent and sustained action.

Funding: No funding sources
Conflict of interest: None declared
Ethical approval: Not required

REFERENCES

1. Jennings BM. Work stress and burnout among nurses: role of the work environment. Nurs Outlook. 2008;56(3):132-8.
2. Van Bogaert P, Clarke S, Willems R, Mondelaers M, Meulemans H, Van de Heyning P, et al. The impact of unit-level nurse practice environment and burnout on nurse-reported outcomes. J Clin Nurs. 2014;23(11-12):1664-74.
3. World Health Organization. Occupational health: stress at the workplace. 2022. Available at: https://www.who.int/health-topics/occupational-health. Accessed on 12 May 2025.
4. Rani R, Singh N, Mathur A, Kumar S. Stress among nurses in India: a review. Int J Community Med Public Health. 2020;7(1):401-5.
5. Zhang X, Wang J, Hao Y, Wu K, Jiao M, Liang L, et al. Prevalence and factors associated with burnout of frontline healthcare workers in fighting against the COVID-19 pandemic: evidence from China. Front Psychol. 2021;12:680614.
6. Gelsema TI, van der Doef M, Maes S, Janssen M, Akerboom S, Verhoeven C. A longitudinal study of job stress in nursing. Int J Nurs Stud. 2006;43(4):455-68.
7. Walker LO, Avant KC. Strategies for theory construction in nursing. 5th edition. Upper Saddle River (NJ): Prentice Hall. 2011;5.
8. Rodgers BL. Concept analysis: an evolutionary view. In: Rodgers BL, Knafl KA, editors. Concept development in nursing. Philadelphia: Saunders. 2000;77-102.
9. McVicar A. Workplace stress in nursing: a literature review. J Adv Nurs. 2003;44(6):633-42.
10. Laschinger HK, Finegan J, Shamian J, Wilk P. Structural empowerment, burnout, and work satisfaction. J Nurs Adm. 2001;31(5):260-72.
11. Erickson RJ, Grove WJ. Emotional labor and health care. Sociol Health Illn. 2007;29(2):195-212.
12. Epstein EG, Hamric AB. Moral distress, ethical climate, and nurse retention. J Clin Ethics. 2009;20(4):330-42.
13. Duchscher JB. Transition shock: the initial stage of role adaptation for newly graduated registered nurses. J Adv Nurs. 2009;65(5):1103-13.
14. Aiken LH, Clarke SP, Sloane DM, Sochalski J, Silber JH. Hospital nurse staffing and patient mortality. JAMA. 2002;288(16):1987-93.
15. Alenezi AM, Aboshaiqah AE, Baker O. Work-related stress among nurses in Saudi Arabia. Ann Saudi Med. 2018;38(1):18-25.
16. Santos SR, Barros C, Carolino E. Self-efficacy and control in nursing stress. Int J Nurs Sci. 2015;2(2):134-40.
17. Dewe PJ, O'Driscoll MP, Cooper CL. Coping with work stress: a review and critique. Chichester (UK): Wiley-Blackwell. 2010.
18. Maslach C, Jackson SE, Leiter MP. Maslach burnout inventory manual. 3rd edition. Palo Alto (CA): Consulting Psychologists Press. 1996.
19. Xie Z, Wang A, Chen B. Nurse burnout and its association with occupational stress in a cross-sectional study in China. Int J Nurs Stud. 2011;48(5):629-34.
20. McHugh MD, Kutney-Lee A, Cimiotti JP, Sloane DM, Aiken LH. Nurses' widespread job dissatisfaction, burnout, and frustration with health benefits signal problems for patient care. Health Aff (Millwood). 2011;30(2):202-10.
21. Tawfik DS, Scheid A, Profit J, Shanafelt TD, Trockel M, Adair KC, et al. Physician burnout, well-being, and work unit safety grades in relationship to reported medical errors. Mayo Clin Proc. 2018;93(11):1571-80.
22. Maslach C, Leiter MP. Understanding the burnout experience: recent research and its implications for psychiatry. World Psychiatry. 2016;15(2):103-11.
23. Figley CR. Compassion fatigue: psychotherapists' chronic lack of self-care. J Clin Psychol. 2002;58(11):1433-41.
24. Peters E. Compassion fatigue in nursing: a concept analysis. Nurs Forum. 2018;53(4):466-80.
25. Greenberg N, Docherty M, Gnanapragasam S, Wessely S. Managing mental health challenges faced

by healthcare workers during COVID-19 pandemic. BMJ. 2020;368:m1211.
26. Schaufeli WB, Bakker AB. Job demands, job resources, and their relationship with burnout and engagement: a multi-sample study. J Organ Behav. 2004;25(3):293-315.
27. Hobfoll SE. Conservation of resources theory: its implication for stress, health, and resilience. In: Folkman S, editor. The Oxford handbook of stress, health, and coping. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 2011;127-47.
28. Lazarus RS, Folkman S. Stress, appraisal, and coping. New York: Springer Publishing. 1984.
29. Karasek RA. Job demands, job decision latitude, and mental strain: implications for job redesign. Adm Sci Q. 1979;24(2):285-308.
30. Siegrist J. Adverse health effects of high-effort/low-reward conditions. J Occup Health Psychol. 1996;1(1):27-41.
31. McEwen BS. Protective and damaging effects of stress mediators. N Engl J Med. 1998;338(3):171-9.
32. Taylor C, Graham J, Potts HW, Candy J, Richards MA, Ramirez AJ. Developing a conceptual model of compassion fatigue. J Adv Nurs. 2013;69(9):2134-46.
33. Poghosyan L, Clarke SP, Finlayson M, Aiken LH. Nurse burnout and quality of care: cross-national investigation in six countries. Res Nurs Health. 2010;33(4):288-98.
34. Yoon S, Kim J, Kim H. Workplace violence and nurses' turnover intention: the mediating role of work engagement. J Nurs Scholarsh. 2020;52(5):559-66.
35. Hall LH, Johnson J, Watt I, Tsipa A, O'Connor DB. Healthcare staff wellbeing, burnout, and patient safety: a systematic review. PLoS One. 2016;11(7):e0159015.
36. Bridgeman PJ, Bridgeman MB, Barone J. Burnout syndrome among healthcare professionals. Am J Health Syst Pharm. 2018;75(3):147-52.
37. Lo WY, Chien LY, Hwang FM, Huang N, Chiou ST. Work stress and intention to leave among nurses in Taiwan: a nationwide cross-sectional survey. J Nurs Res. 2018;26(1):24-30.
38. Chatterjee S, Chakraborty T, Mitra S. Nursing stress and its influencing factors in Indian nurses. Indian J Occup Environ Med. 2016;20(2):95-100.
39. Da Silva RM, Goulart CT, Guido LA, do Carmo Cruz Robazzi ML, de Oliveira Gomes RP. Strategies for coping with occupational stress in emergency care nursing. Rev Lat Am Enfermagem. 2014;22(3):386-93.
40. Gunasingam N, Burns K, Edwards J, Dinh M, Walton M. Reducing stress and burnout in junior doctors: a review of the solutions. Med Educ. 2015;49(5):458-64.
41. Fink DS, Galea S. The mental health consequences of COVID-19 and physical distancing. JAMA Intern Med. 2020;180(6):817-8.
42. Choi KR, Skrine Jeffers K, Logsdon MC. Moral distress and burnout among frontline nurses during COVID-19 pandemic: a cross-sectional survey. Int J Nurs Stud. 2021;117:103851.
43. Chang Y, Chan HJ. Optimism and proactive coping in relation to burnout among nurses. J Nurs Manag. 2015;23(3):401-8.
44. Dimitrios T, Konstantinos D. Occupational stress, job satisfaction, and working environment among Greek nurses. J Nurs Manag. 2010;18(3):379-88.
45. Peters D, Jackson D, Andrew S, Halcomb E, Salzberg M, Giles T, et al. The experience of workplace stress in community health nurses: a qualitative systematic review. J Nurs Scholarsh. 2018;50(6):622-31.
46. Shah MK, Gandrakota N, Cimiotti JP, Ghose N, Moore M, Ali MK. Prevalence of and factors associated with nurse burnout in the US. JAMA Health Forum. 2021;2(7):e211513.
47. Maslach C, Leiter MP. Burnout: a multidimensional perspective. In: Schaufeli WB, Maslach C, Marek T, editors. Professional burnout: recent developments in theory and research. Washington (DC): Taylor & Francis. 1997;19-32.
48. Chor WPD, Ng WM, Cheng L, Situ W, Chong JW, Ng LYA, et al. Burnout among emergency healthcare workers during the COVID-19 pandemic: a meta-analysis. Am J Emerg Med. 2021;46:96-104.
49. Kang L, Li Y, Hu S, Chen M, Yang C, Yang BX, et al. The mental health of medical workers in Wuhan, China dealing with the COVID-19 outbreak. Lancet Psychiatry. 2020;7(3):e14.
50. International Council of Nurses. ICN calls for data on healthcare worker infections and deaths. Geneva: ICN. 2020.

Cite this article as: Joe B, Kalyani B, Ganapathy R, Chetanmala K, Nelavala AD, Khatodiya RS, et al. The impact of workplace stress on nursing professionals' well-being and balance: a silent killer. Int J Clin Trials 2025;12(4):362-8.