Protocol

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2349-3259.ijct20233174

Screening for coronary artery disease using primary evaluation with coronary CTA in aviation medicine (SUSPECT): study design

Erik Frijters^{1*}, Remco B. Grobben², Birgitta K. Velthuis³, Lysette N. Broekhuizen⁴, Roland T. A. Beekmann¹, Rienk Rienks⁴, Hendrik M. Nathoe²

Received: 27 July 2023 Revised: 10 September 2023 Accepted: 15 October 2023

*Correspondence: Dr. Erik Frijters,

E-mail: frijters@gmail.com

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Background: Sudden in-flight incapacitation of aircrew may cause an airplane crash. Important causes are major adverse cardiac events, such as myocardial infarction and sudden cardiac arrest. Aircrew of the Royal Netherlands Air Force (RNLAF) are required to undergo periodic cardiac screening, including bicycle exercise-testing. Unfortunately, this modality lacks diagnostic accuracy for relevant coronary artery disease (CAD) in low-risk populations similar to military aircrew. Cardiac CT, however, comprising Coronary Artery Calcium score (CACS) and coronary CT angiography (CCTA), is able to adequately detect (subclinical) CAD with high negative predictive values in low-risk populations and may provide opportunity for early interventions.

Methods: This was a prospective, single-center, cohort study of 250 military aircrew. Asymptomatic aircrew \geq 40 years are asked to undergo a voluntary cardiac CT following routine aeromedical examination. Prevalence and severity of CAD will be described according to the CAD-RADS system, including coronary artery calcium score (CACS) and high risk plaque features. The primary endpoint is relevant CAD, defined as a composite of a coronary stenosis \geq 50% and/or CACS >100 Agatston Units. The secondary endpoint is 'aeromedically significant CAD', defined by national military regulations as a left main stenosis of >30%, any luminal stenosis \geq 50%, and/or an aggregate coronary stenosis of >120%.

Conclusions: The aim is to assess the value of cardiac CT for routine aeromedical screening in asymptomatic Dutch military aircrew aged \geq 40 years, in comparison to the current cardiac screening protocol which includes an exercise ECG.

Trial Registration: Clinical trial registered on clinicaltrials.gov number NCT05508893.

Keywords: Coronary artery disease, Computed tomography angiography, Aerospace medicine, Coronary artery calciumscore, Pilots

INTRODUCTION

Cardiac events are a leading cause of sudden in-flight incapacitation in both civilian and military aviation and can have significant consequences.^{1,2} Military pilots suffer

higher levels of occupational stress than civilian pilots due to accelerating Gz forces, (mild) hypoxia, and irregular work in austere environments, (unhealthy) squadron lifestyle and combat stress. This makes screening for (sub) clinical CAD important.³ The relevance of screening for heart disease in asymptomatic subjects in occupations

¹Center for Man in Aviation, Royal Netherlands Air Force, Soesterberg, the Netherlands

²Department of Cardiology, University Medical Center Utrecht, the Netherlands

³Department of Radiology, University Medical Center Utrecht, the Netherlands

⁴Department of Cardiology, Central Military Hospital, Utrecht, the Netherlands

involving high-hazard populations (e.g. military aircrew) is well recognized.⁴ As determined by Military Aviation Regulations (MAR) of the RNLAF, all military aircrew are required to undergo cardiac screening. In addition to clinical definitions of CAD, the MAR uses aeromedically significant CAD, defined according to the definitions of the NATO working group on aviation cardiology as a left

main stenosis of >30%, a luminal stenosis \geq 50%, or an aggregate stenosis of \geq 120%.⁵ This is based on the '1% rule' used in civil aviation, stating that the risk of an aeromedical event must be lower than 1 % per year.⁶ Since a coronary artery calcium score (CACS) >100 A° bears a risk for coronary events of more than 1%, this is also considered aeromedically significant CAD (Table 1).³

Table 1: Calcium score (CACS) related to cardiac events.³

CAC	0	1-9	10-99	100-399	400-1000	>1000
Number	249	51	202	263	212	112
Revascul-arisation/MI/SCD	3	0	6	8	17	12
Annual event rate (%)	0.45	0	1.11	1.14	3.00	4.01

Note: Event rates for revascularisation, myocardial infarction (MI) and sudden cardiac death (SCD) with various coronary calcium scores in over 32 months in 1153 patients, median age 58±10 years.

Routine aeromedical screening for cardiovascular disease consists of a resting electrocardiogram (ECG), a clinical risk score (i.e. the Reynolds risk score) and an exercise ECG. In case of an abnormal exercise ECG, subjects are referred to the cardiologist in the Central Military Hospital in Utrecht for further assessment. The efficacy of a screening protocol using exercise ECG, however, has been questioned because of suboptimal diagnostic value for detection of CAD in populations similar to aircrew.^{7,8}

We hypothesize that primary screening using cardiac CT may improve both diagnostic and prognostic characteristics. Cardiac CT comprises CACS and Coronary CT angiography (CCTA). Both modalities have proven to be an effective modality for the detection of CAD in different populations.⁹⁻¹¹ CACS is a good surrogate measure for CAD, with excellent predictive value that surpasses standard risk factors, even in persons with no cardiovascular risk factors. 3,12,13 However, CACS does not provide any information about coronary anatomy, the non-calcified part of the atherosclerotic plaque or the presence of coronary stenoses, which can cause cardiovascular events.¹⁴ CCTA offers an excellent visualization of the coronary anatomy and luminal stenosis, at an acceptable radiation exposure. Specificity and sensitivity of CCTA for the detection of CAD are high, resulting in a negative predictive value of obstructive CAD of almost 100% in low-risk subjects.^{9,15} In addition, detailed information can be obtained on atherosclerotic plaque characteristics and plaque burden, including high risk plaque features (i. e.; spotty calcium, 'napkin ring sign', positive remodeling, low attenuation plaque). 16 A number of studies have reported that up to 50% of patients who present with an ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) do not have clinically significant obstructive CAD. 17

Study aim

The aim of the study was to assess the value of cardiac CT for clinically and 'aeromedically' relevant CAD in asymptomatic Dutch military aircrew aged ≥40 years, in

comparison to the current cardiac screening protocol, including exercise ECG.

The primary endpoint is relevant CAD, defined as a composite of a coronary stenosis \geq 50% and/or CACS >100 Agatston Units. The secondary endpoint is 'aeromedically significant CAD' (defined by national military regulations as a left main stenosis of >30%, any luminal stenosis \geq 50%, and/or an aggregate coronary stenosis of \geq 120%). This is of particular interest in our population, because of its relevance for flying restrictions. In addition, the prevalence of mild coronary stenosis defined as 25-49% stenosis and vulnerable (high risk) plaque features will be described.

METHODS

Design and population

SUSPECT is a prospective cross-sectional study. Asymptomatic military aircrew ≥40 years old, who are employed by the RNLAF and required to undergo cardiac screening during their aeromedical examination, are eligible for study inclusion. Participation is on a voluntary basis. All collected data is pseudonymised and stored on a secured computer, in accordance with General Data Protection Regulations. Exclusion criteria are (1) (a)typical angina, (2) prior myocardial infarction, percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) and/or coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG), (3) left ventricular ejection fraction <35%, (4) CT related contraindications such as irregular heart rhythm or tachycardia unresponsive to beta blockade, allergy to iodine contrast fluid, (5) renal insufficiency (eGFR <60 ml/min/1.73 m²), or (6) pregnancy.

Routine proceedings

All aircrew of the RNLAF are required to undergo a aeromedical examinations in the Center for Man in Aviation (CMA).⁵ This includes a medical and family history, assessment of cardiac risk factors using Reynold's Risk Score, physical examination, resting ECG and blood

and urine tests (Table 2).¹⁸ In addition, a standardized bicycle exercise test is performed every 5 years after the age of 40 and every two years after the age of 50. If significant abnormalities occur, the subject is referred to the cardiologist for further diagnostic work-up.¹⁹

Table 2: Laboratory examinations performed during routine aeromedical examinations in the RNLAF.

Laboratory examinations		
	Glucose, creatinine, total cholesterol,	
	triglycerides, high density lipoprotein	
	(HDL), high sensitivity C-reactive	
	protein, aspartate aminotransferase	
	(ASAT), alanine aminotransferase	
	(ALAT), alkaline phosphatase (ALP),	
Venous	gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase	
blood	(GGT), bilirubin, amylase, white blood	
	cell count, red blood cell count,	
	hematocrit, hemoglobin, mean	
	corpuscular volume (MCV), mean	
	corpuscular hemoglobin (MCH), mean	
	corpuscular hemoglobin concentration	
	(MCHC), platelets.	
	Specific gravity, pH, leukocytes,	
Urine	nitrite, proteins, glucose, ketones,	
	urobilinogen, bilirubin, erythrocytes.	
	Low density lipoprotein (LDL) and	
Calculated	estimated glomerular filtration rate	
	(eGFR).	

Study proceedings

Subjects will undergo cardiac CT (Brilliance iCT or dual-detector spectral CT 7500, Philips Healthcare, Best, The Netherlands) using prospective ECG-triggering in a diastolic phase (78%) and 0.4 mg sublingual nitroglycerin spray. A beta blocker (metoprolol) is administered if the heart rate is >65 beats per minute. Scan parameters are adjusted to weight < or ≥80 kg. A non-contrast cardiac scan (120 kV and 50-60 mAs) is performed to calculate CACS. Scanning parameters for CCTA are as follows:70-80 ml contrast (Iopromide 300 I/mg, Bayer Healthcare, Berlin, Germany) injected intravenously followed by 50 ml 50% contrast / 50% saline mix and a 30-40 ml saline flush at 6-6.7 ml/sec; 80/128×0.625 collimation; 0.9 mm reconstructed slice thickness; 0.27 s gantry rotation-time, 100-120 kV and 210-300 mAs.

The CT scans are sent to a workstation (IntelliSpace, Philips Healthcare, Best, the Netherlands) to calculate CACS using the Agatston method and use CCTA to make centre-line multi-planar-reconstructions of the coronary arteries. All CT scans are assessed by an experienced cardiovascular radiologist. Presence, type of coronary artery atherosclerotic plaque (non-calcified, calcified or partially calcified) and degree of luminal stenosis is graded

according to the modified 17-segment American Heart Association coronary model using the CAD-RADS system: no plaque and no stenosis (CAD-RADS 0); minimal stenosis (1-24%, CAD-RADS 1); mild stenosis (25-49%, CAD-RADS 2); moderate stenosis (50-69%, CAD-RADS 3), severe stenosis (70-99% in 1 or 2 vessels (CAD-RADS 4A); >50% stenosis in left main or ≥70% in 3 vessels (CAD-RADS 4B); and total occlusion (CAD-RADS 5). 21,22 Additionally, all segments with more than 30% stenosis are counted towards aggregate stenosis High risk plaque features (positive remodeling, low attenuation plaque (<30 HU), spotty calcification and napkin ring sign) are used to label a vulnerable plaque with an additional CAD-RADS V modifier label if a single coronary plaque has ≥two high risk plaque features. 21, 23

Study results are discussed by the SUSPECT working group, consisting of four cardiologists, one cardiovascular radiologist and a senior military flight surgeon. Participants are informed about the findings. Treatment is based on best clinical judgement by the SUSPECT study group and is follows (a) CACS ≥400 AU, Left main >30%, or any stenosis ≥50%: referral to cardiologist for further evaluation; (b) CACS 100-399 AU, a single luminal stenosis 25-49% (CAD-RADS 2), or vulnerable plaque (≥2 features): lipid lowering therapy (e.g. statins) and life style advice; (c) CACS 1-99 AU or single luminal stenosis <25%: lifestyle advice. Statins were only initiated if indicated by additional risk factors; and (d) aeromedically relevant CAD: lipid lowering therapy (e.g. statins) and life style advice. Referral to a cardiologist was not routinely performed, but results were discussed with the Aeromedical Specialist (AMS) of the Military Aviation Authority of the RNLAF.

Outcomes

The primary endpoint is CAD, defined as a composite of a coronary stenosis \geq 50% and/or CACS \geq 100. The secondary endpoint is 'aeromedically significant CAD', as defined by national military regulations as a left main stenosis of >30%, any luminal stenosis \geq 50%, or an aggregate coronary stenosis of \geq 120%. This is of particular interest in our population, because of potential flight safety implications.

Sample size calculation

Prevalence of CAD is highly variable in asymptomatic persons. ²⁴ In the MARC study, performing cardiac CT in 318 asymptomatic sportsmen aged \geq 45 years, 16% had a CACS \geq 100 and 5% had a \geq 50% luminal stenosis on CCTA. ^{7,25} In the SCAPIS study of 25.182 Swedish individuals (51% women, age 50-64 years) without known CAD, 12% had a CACS \geq 100, and 5% had a \geq 50% coronary stenosis on CCTA. ¹¹ Only one aviation medicine study has been performed using CT as a secondary screening tool for CAD, and showed that 13 of 44 (30%) aircrew had a \geq 50% coronary stenosis. ²⁶

We determined the expected population proportion (p) for CAD (defined as any luminal stenosis ≥50% and/or CACS ≥100 AU) as approximately 20%. The sample size (N) was calculated with the formula

$$n = \frac{z^2 * p * (1 - p)}{e^2}$$

where z=1.96 using a confidence level (α) of 95%, and a margin of error (e) of 0.05. Based on these numbers, the calculated sample size (n) was 246 subjects.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables are reported as mean±SD when normally distributed or as median (interquartile range), if not normally distributed. Categorical variables are presented as proportions. We use t-tests to compare continuous variables when data were normally distributed, and Mann-Whitney U in case of a non-normally distribution. Categorical variables are analyzed using chi square or Fisher's exact test, where appropriate. After univariable analysis regarding baseline characteristics and presence of CAD, a multivariable analysis is preformed using stepwise logistic regression. In order to assess the added value of screening using cardiac CT in this population, a number needed to screen (NNS) and net reclassification improvement (NRI) are provided. All statistical analyses are performed using IBM SPSS® Version 27 software. A p value of <0.05 is considered statistically significant.

DISCUSSION

The SUSPECT study assesses the value of cardiac CT for clinically and 'aeromedically' relevant CAD in asymptomatic Dutch military aircrew aged ≥ 40 years in comparison to standard cardiac screening. The main benefit is early recognition of subclinical CAD, which provides the opportunity for early intervention to improve prognosis and flight safety. Furthermore, this study will give additional information on the ongoing question whether coronary CT scanning improves cardiovascular risk stratification in asymptomatic people with highhazard occupations. The study results will be compared to similar studies in other populations, such as the MARC study that assessed the additional value of cardiac CT above a normal sport medical examination (including a bicycle exercise test) for relevant CAD in asymptomatic, middle-aged male athletes.7 We postulate that primary screening with cardiac CT in military aircrew, will outperform current screening protocols using exercise ECG. However, some concerns regarding this primary screening have to be acknowledged.

First, cardiac CT requires radiation exposure and intravenous contrast material injection. Radiation is around 3-5 mSv, which is similar to a normal yearly 'background' radiation exposure in the Netherlands (2.5mSv). Non-ionic contrast material has a low risk of

contrast-induced nephropathy or allergic reactions, which are usually mild and self-limiting.²⁷

Second, finding subclinical CAD with primary screening using cardiac CT may lead to flight restrictions. The MAR regulations are based on a luminal stenosis on conventional coronary angiography, and findings may be different on CT. CACS and calcified plaque can also be increased in case of long-term intense exercise, which may apply to some aircrew.²⁸ Consequently, some aircrew may be unnecessarily grounded until a cardiologist has performed a full assessment. The number of aircrew that are unnecessarily referred to a cardiologist may be significantly lower than by screening for CAD using exercise ECG, which suffers from a suboptimal positive and negative predictive value.^{7,8}

Third, is extra costs of cardiac CT. It is possible that a primary screening protocol using cardiac CT could increase or prevent unnecessary and expensive referral to a cardiologist. Additionally, absence of CAD on CT could motivate a longer interval between required cardiac screening moments and improve cost-effectiveness, a subject of continuing debate among (military) organizations. ^{3,29,30}

CONCLUSION

The results of the SUSPECT study will provide insight in the added value of cardiac CT in routine aeromedical screening of military aircrew. In addition, we expect that this study will provide guidance in the ongoing debate how to screen asymptomatic people with high-risk occupations for relevant coronary artery disease.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to acknowledge the Dutch Society for Aerospace Medicine (NVvLG) and the Dr. J. Jongbloedfonds.

Funding: The SUSPECT study is funded by the Centre for Man in Aviation, Royal Netherlands Air Force

Conflict of interest: None declared

Ethical approval: The study was approved by the University Medical Center Utrecht (protocol number 13-693)

REFERENCES

- 1. Cullen SA, Drysdale HC, Mayes RW. Role of medical factors in 1000 fatal aviation accidents: case note study. BMJ. 1997;314(7094):1592.
- 2. DeJohn CA, Mills WD, Hathaway W, Larcher J. Cardiac Inflight Incapacitations of U.S. Airline Pilots: 1995-2015. Aerosp Med Hum Perform. 2018;89(9):837-41.
- 3. Gray G, Davenport ED, Bron D, Rienks R, d'Arcy J, Guettler N, et al. The challenge of asymptomatic

- coronary artery disease in aircrew; detecting plaque before the accident. Heart. 2019;105(1):s17-24.
- 4. Knuuti J, Wijns W, Saraste A, Capodanno D, Barbato E, Funck-Brentano C, et al. 2019 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of chronic coronary syndromes. Eur Heart J. 2020;41(3):407-7.
- MAR-FCL 3. Military Aviation Requirements Flight Crew Licensing – Medical, 2019. Available at: https://english.defensie.nl/downloads/08/26/mar-fcl-3-flight-crew-licensing-medical. Accessed on 19 July 2023.
- 6. Joy M. A risk orientated approach to the problems of cardiovascular certification in aircrew: summary of principal conclusions of the Second U.K. Workshop in Aviation Cardiology. Eur Heart J. 1988;9:1-8.
- Braber TL, Mosterd A, Prakken NH, Rienks R, Nathoe HM, Mali WP, et al. Occult coronary artery disease in middle-aged sportsmen with a low cardiovascular risk score: The Measuring Athlete's Risk of Cardiovascular Events (MARC) study. Eur J Prev Cardiol. 2016;23(15):1677-84.
- 8. Perk J, De Backer G, Gohlke H, Graham I, Reiner Z, Verschuren M, et al. The Fifth Joint Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and Other Societies on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Clinical Practice (constituted by representatives of nine societies and by invited experts). Eur Heart J. 2012;33(13):1635-701.
- 9. Maffei E, Palumbo A, Martini C, Tedeschi C, Arcadi T, La Grutta L, et al. Computed tomography coronary angiography in asymptomatic patients. Radiol Med. 2011;116(8):1161-73.
- Romeo F, Leo R, Clementi F, Razzini C, Borzi M, Martuscelli E, et al. Multislice computed tomography in an asymptomatic high-risk population. Am J Cardiol. 2007;99(3):325-8.
- Bergström G, Persson M, Adiels M, Björnson E, Bonander C, Ahlström H, et al. Prevalence of Subclinical Coronary Artery Atherosclerosis in the General Population. Circulation. 2021;144(12):916-29
- 12. Valenti V, Ó Hartaigh B, Heo R, Cho I, Schulman-Marcus J, Gransar H, et al. A 15-Year Warranty Period for Asymptomatic Individuals Without Coronary Artery Calcium: A Prospective Follow-Up of 9,715 Individuals. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2015;8(8):900-9.
- 13. Blaha MJ, Silverman MG, Budoff MJ. Is there a role for coronary artery calcium scoring for management of asymptomatic patients at risk for coronary artery disease?: Clinical risk scores are not sufficient to define primary prevention treatment strategies among asymptomatic patients. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging. 2014;7(2):398-408.
- 14. Villines TC, Hulten EA, Shaw LJ, Goyal M, Dunning A, Achenbach S, et al. Prevalence and severity of coronary artery disease and adverse events among symptomatic patients with coronary artery calcification scores of zero undergoing coronary computed tomography angiography: results from the

- CONFIRM (Coronary CT Angiography Evaluation for Clinical Outcomes: An International Multicenter) registry. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2011;58(24):2533-40.
- 15. McClelland RL, Chung H, Detrano R, Post W, Kronmal RA. Distribution of coronary artery calcium by race, gender, and age: results from the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). Circulation. 2006;113(1):30-7.
- 16. Cury RC, Leipsic J, Abbara S, Achenbach S, Berman D, Bittencourt M, et al. CAD-RADS™ 2.0 2022 Coronary Artery Disease-Reporting and Data System: An Expert Consensus Document of the Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography (SCCT), the American College of Cardiology (ACC), the American College of Radiology (ACR), and the North America Society of Cardiovascular Imaging (NASCI). JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2022;15(11):1974-2001.
- 17. Min JK, Edwardes M, Lin FY, Labounty T, Weinsaft JW, Choi JH, et al. Relationship of coronary artery plaque composition to coronary artery stenosis severity: results from the prospective multicenter ACCURACY trial. Atherosclerosis. 2011;219(2):573-8.
- 18. Ridker PM, Paynter NP, Rifai N, Gaziano JM, Cook NR. C-reactive protein and parental history improve global cardiovascular risk prediction: the Reynolds Risk Score for men. Circulation. 2008;118(22):2243-51.
- 19. Cardiologie NVv. SOP Inspanningsonderzoek, 2016. Available at: https://www.nvvc.nl/Richtlijnen/2016_SOP_Inspanningsonderzoek_DEF. Accessed on 19 July 2023.
- 20. Agatston AS, Janowitz WR, Hildner FJ, Zusmer NR, Viamonte M, Detrano R. Quantification of coronary artery calcium using ultrafast computed tomography. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1990;15(4):827-32.
- 21. Cury RC, Abbara S, Achenbach S, Agatston A, Berman DS, Budoff MJ, et al. Endorsed by the American College of Cardiology. J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr. 2016;10(4):269-81.
- Leipsic J, Abbara S, Achenbach S, Cury R, Earls JP, Mancini GJ, et al. SCCT guidelines for the interpretation and reporting of coronary CT angiography: a report of the Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography Guidelines Committee. J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr. 2014;8(5):342-58.
- 23. Lu G, Ye W, Ou J, Li X, Tan Z, Li T, et al. Coronary Computed Tomography Angiography Assessment of High-Risk Plaques in Predicting Acute Coronary Syndrome. Front Cardiovasc Med. 2021;8:743538.
- 24. Cesare E, Patriarca L, Panebianco L, Bruno F, Palumbo P, Cannizzaro E, et al. Coronary computed tomography angiography in the evaluation of intermediate risk asymptomatic individuals. Radiol Med. 2018;123(9):686-94.
- 25. Braber TL, Mosterd A, Prakken NH, Doevendans PA, Mali WP, Backx FJ, et al. Rationale and design of the Measuring Athlete's Risk of Cardiovascular

- events (MARC) study: The role of coronary CT in the cardiovascular evaluation of middle-aged sportsmen. Neth Heart J. 2015;23(2):133-8.
- Parsons I, Pavitt C, Chamley R, d'Arcy J, Nicol E. CT Coronary Angiography vs. Coronary Artery Calcium Scoring for the Occupational Assessment of Military Aircrew. Aerosp Med Hum Perform. 2017;88(2):76-81.
- 27. Hunt CH, Hartman RP, Hesley GK. Frequency and severity of adverse effects of iodinated and gadolinium contrast materials: retrospective review of 456,930 doses. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2009;193(4):1124-7.
- 28. Bosscher R, Dausin C, Claus P, Bogaert J, Dymarkowski S, Goetschalckx K, et al. Lifelong endurance exercise and its relation with coronary

- atherosclerosis. Eur Heart J. 2023 Jul 7;44(26):2388-99.
- 29. Davenport ED, Gray G, Rienks R, Bron D, Syburra T, d'Arcy JL, et al. Management of established coronary artery disease in aircrew without myocardial infarction or revascularisation. Heart. 2019;105(1):s25-s30.
- 30. Nicol ED, Rienks R, Gray G, Guettler NJ, Manen O, Syburra T, et al. An introduction to aviation cardiology. Heart. 2019;105(1)3-8.

Cite this article as: Frijters E, Grobben RB, Velthuis BK, Broekhuizen LN, Beekmann RTA, Rienks R, et al. Screening for coronary artery disease using primary evaluation with coronary CTA in aviation medicine (SUSPECT): study design. Int J Clin Trials 2023;10(4):305-10.