Short Communication

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2349-3259.ijct20232200

Rajendra Jinjwaria quality of life-22 scale (RJQOL-22) scale

Rajendra Kumar Jinjwaria*

Department of Cardiothoracic and Vascular Surgery, Institute of Medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India

Received: 29 March 2023 Revised: 08 May 2023 Accepted: 09 May 2023

*Correspondence:

Dr. Rajendra Kumar Jinjwaria, E-mail: rajendra4137@gmail.com

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

The Rajendra Jinjwaria quality of life-22 (RJQOL-22) scale will help assess the overall quality of life in various acute and chronic diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis, osteo arthritis, and other conditions that affect the quality of life such as cardiovascular, HIV, and cancer. The tool was developed from 29 January 2019 to 01 May 2023 by Rajendra Kumar Jinjwaria at King George's Medical University Lucknow and Banaras Hindu University, Uttar Pradesh, India. The validity of the RJQOL-22 scale was established as per the content validity index (CVI) 98% and validated by more than 100 expert faculty across the world. The scale is standardized and highly valid for assessing the quality of life in acute and chronic diseases. The overall reliability of the scale based on a study was estimated to be (>0.983) through (Cronbach's alpha value) and it indicates a highly reliable scale. While the individual reliability of group I and group II was 0.989 and 0.978 respectively. The acceptable cut-off value of coefficients was 0.7 for moderate and 0.8 for high reliability. This indicates that the tool used in the studies was highly reliable.

Keywords: RJQOL-22, QOL, RKJ, SCALE

INTRODUCTION

The Rajendra Jinjwaria quality of life-22 (RJQOL-22) scale will help assess the overall quality of life in various acute and chronic diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis, osteoarthritis, and other conditions that affect the quality of life such as cardiovascular, HIV, and cancer. The basic concept of the development of scale After an extensive review of literature, discussion with the experts and with the investigator's professional experience. The tool was developed from 29 January 2019 to 01 May 2023 by Rajendra Kumar Jinjwaria at King George's Medical University Lucknow and Banaras Hindu University, Uttar Pradesh, India. Validations of the tool across the country well-experienced and reputative in institutes (Government and Autonomous institutes across the world) along with expertise in teaching and clinical faculty such as (various orthopedics', rheumatologist, physiotherapist, cardiologist, neurologist, professor, associate and assistant professor, clinical instructor, senior nursing officers) such

as All India Medical Sciences (AIIMS) Delhi, Rishikesh, Patna, Banaras Hindu University (BHU) Varanasi, King George's Medical University (KGMU) Lucknow, Nizam's Institute of Medical Science (NIMS) Hyderabad, Alwar Nursing College Rajasthan, and HIW Holistic Naturopathy Clinic Lucknow. In attempts to develop a quality-of-life assessment that would be applicable crossculturally. This document gives a conceptual background to the RJQOL-22 scale. It also includes an English language and instruction for administering and scoring and proposed uses for this short form of the RJQOL-22 scale.

METHODS

The rationale for the development of the RJQOL-22 scale

The study was chosen during the postgraduation for requiring an assessment of the quality of life so the researcher felt that developing the RJQOL-22 scale and I

hope this scale is helpful for assessing the quality of life in various diseases that can affect the standard quality of life. And I have tried to cover all domains related to the quality of life such as psychological, physiological, cognition and emotional and develop a concise form of a scale to cover all aspects of quality of life.

Steps in the development of the RJQOL-22 scale

Tool based on the quality of life and development process consisted of multiple stages. When developing the tool first explain the definition of quality of life and an approach to national and international quality of life assessment.

The institutional ethics committee of King George's Medical University (Lucknow, India) reviewed and approved the RJQOL-22 Scale (Registration No: ECR/262/INST/UP/2013/RR-19).

"Quality of life is defined as individuals' perceptions of their position in life in the context of the culture and value systems in which they live and about their goals, expectations, standards and concerns and personal adjustment".³³

This definition reflects the view that quality of life refers to the subjective evaluation and cover psychological, physiological, cognition and emotional parameter but these parameters disturb various disease conditions and health intervention on quality of life.

Content validity (as per CVI) of the scale

The validity of the RJQOL-22 scale was established as per the content validity index (CVI) 98% and validated by more than 100 expert faculty across the world. The scale is standardized and highly valid for assessing the quality of life.

RESULTS

Reliability of the RJQOL-22 scale

The scale uses for assessing the quality of life and the tool was developed by the researcher. To ensure the reliability of the tool, a study was done and it was administered to 90 patients with chronic rheumatoid arthritis and congestive heart failure (45 patients in each group) for the assessment of the effectiveness of the RJQOL-22 scale, and reliability was established by Cronbach's alpha method and the calculated correlated coefficient was (>0.983).and tool is highly reliable for the study.

The overall reliability of the scale based on a study was estimated to be (>0.983) (Cronbach's Alpha value) and it indicates a highly reliable scale. While the individual reliability of group I and group II was 0.989 and 0.978 respectively. The acceptable cut-off value of coefficients was 0.7 for moderate and 0.8 for high reliability. This

indicates that the scale used in the study was highly reliable.

Table 1: Cronbach's alpha test.

Group	Reliability	
	Cronbach's Alpha	No. of items
Overall	0.983	90
Group – I	0.989	22
Group – II	0.978	22

DISCUSSION

This scale is used in various studies for assessing the quality of life and based on the findings of the study toll was found standardized and highly valid and reliable for assessing the quality of life.

Jinjwaria et al conducted a triple-blinded randomized controlled multiple arm trial to evaluate the effectiveness of hydrotherapy versus land-based exercises outcome on quality of life among patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Single centre, the study was done at the department of physical medicine and rehabilitation, KGMU (India). Eligible 90 patients (45 males and 45 females aged 17 to 75 years old suffering from chronic rheumatoid arthritis) and randomisation through the sequentially numbering sealed opaque envelope method (SNSOE).

The intervention (RJHLERA) administered was hydrotherapy exercise (week 30-minutes session for 6 weeks and exercises in a hydrotherapy bathtub submerging body, water temperature (30-35 degrees Celsius) and land-based exercises (weekly 30-minute session for 6 weeks and exercises in land and 11 minutes planned set of an active exercise (as a placebo) on land exercises for the clear control group. Assess the quality of life using by RJOOL-22 scale.

Findings

For the quality of life, the pre-test results showed all the subjects had either poor or neither poor nor good levels of RJQOL-22 selected in each group. At post-test, the hydrotherapy exercise group showed the maximum response with 67.9% very good and, 21.4% good. The group land-based exercises group showed the next to maximum response with 19.2% good while the group the clear control group showed the least/poor response with nil cases in the good/very good category.³⁹

CONCLUSION

The validity of the RJQOL-22 scale was established as per the content validity index (CVI) 98% and validated by more than 100 expert faculty across the world. And overall reliability of the scale based on a study was estimated to be (>0.983) (Cronbach's Alpha value).

The scale is standardized and highly valid and reliable for assessing the quality of life.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge Asst. Professor Rashmi P. John, Assistant Professor Arvind Kumar, Dr. Sikha Gupta for the corporation, for consistent support during the development of the tool.

Funding: No funding sources

Conflict of interest: None declared

Ethical approval: The study was approved by the

Institutional Ethics Committee

REFERENCES

- Centres for disease control and prevention. Rheumatoid arthritis. 2020. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/arthritis/basics/rheumatoid-arthritis.html. Accessed on 20 June 2020.
- 2. Handa R, Lewis JFM, Rambhad G, Shiff S, Ghia CJ. Literature review of rheumatoid arthritis in India. Wiley Online Library. 2015;19.
- 3. Angel G, Hilal KM. The widening mortality gap between rheumatoid arthritis patients and the general population, Wiley Online Library. 2007;56.
- 4. Angel G, Hilal KM. The widening mortality gap between rheumatoid arthritis patients and the general population, Wiley Online Library. 2007;56.
- 5. Students 4 Best Evidence. Effectiveness of aquatic exercises in women of RA. 2018. Available at: https://www.students4bestevidence.net/blog/2018/1 2/06/effectiveness-of-aquatic-exercises-in-women-with-rheumatoid-arthritis-a-criticalappraisal/#: ~:text=The %20study%20found%20that%20aquatic, based%20exercise%20and%20control%20group. Accessed on 20 June 2020.
- 6. Al-Qubaeissy KY, Fatoye FA, Goodwin PC, Yohannes AM. The effectiveness of hydrotherapy in the management of rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic review. Musculoskeletal Care. 2013;11(1):3-18.
- Eversden L, Maggs F, Nightingale P, Jobanputra P. A pragmatic randomised controlled trial of hydrotherapy and land exercises on overall wellbeing and quality of life in rheumatoid arthritis. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2007;8:23.
- 8. Clinical Trials Registry of India. Available at: https://ctri.icmr.org.in/ clinical trial registry-India. Accessed on 22 October 2020.
- Polit DF, Denise F, Beck CT. Nursing Research: Generating and Assessing Evidence for Nursing Practice. 10th edition. USA: Walters Kluver/Lippincott William and Wilkins. 2016;190-213.
- Vlasceanu, Harvey L. Analytic quality glossary, quality research international. 2020;38. Available at: https://www.qualityresearchinternational. com/glossary/efficiency.htm#. Accessed on 22 October 2020.

- 11. Fatima F, Rao U, Moots R, Goodson N. Raised traditional cardiovascular risk factors in Indians with rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum. 2009:60(S10):948.
- 12. Hall J, Skevington SM, Maddison PJ, Chapman K. A randomized and controlled trial of hydrotherapy in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Care Res. 1996;9(3):206-15.
- 13. Mooventhan A, Nivethitha L. Scientific evidence-based effects of hydrotherapy on various systems of the body. N Am J Med Sci. 2014;6(5):199-209.
- 14. Dong R, Wu Y, Xu S, Zhang L, Ying J, Jin H, Wang P, Xiao L, Tong P. Is aquatic exercise more effective than land-based exercise for knee osteoarthritis? Medicine (Baltimore). 2018;97(52):e13823.
- 15. Centres for disease control and prevention. Rheumatoid arthritis. 2020. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/arthritis/basics/rheumatoid-arthritis.html. Accessed on 20 June 2020.
- Kumar KH, Elavarasi P. Definition of pain and classification of pain disorder. J Adv Clin Res Insight. 2016;87-90.
- 17. World Health Organization. Measuring the quality of life. 2020. Available at: https://www.who.int/tools/whogol. Accessed on 20 June 2020.
- 18. Scott DL, Wolfe F, Huizinga TWJ. Rheumatoid arthritis. The Lancet. 2010;376:1094-108.
- 19. Cooney JK, Law RJ, Matschke V, Lemmey AB, Moore JP, Ahmad Y, et al. Benefits of exercise in rheumatoid arthritis. J Aging Res. 2011;681640.
- 20. Hall J, Skevington SM, Maddison PJ, Chapman K. A randomized and controlled trial of hydrotherapy in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Care Res. 1996;9(3):206-15.
- 21. Reilly KA, Bird HA. Prophylactic hydrotherapy. Rheumatology. 2001;40(1):4-6.
- 22. Nolte K, Rensburg DCJV, Kruger PE. Land and water-based exercises in rheumatoid arthritis. South Afr J Sports Med. 2011;23(3).
- Siqueira US, Valente LGO. Effectiveness of aquatic exercises in women with rheumatoid arthritis. Am J Physical Med Rehab. 2017;6.
- 24. Stenstrom CH, Minor MA. Evidence for the benefit of aerobic and strengthening exercise in rheumatoid arthritis. Online Wiley Library. 2003;49.
- 25. Nolte K, Rensburg DCJ. Exercise prescription in the management of rheumatoid arthritis. South Afr Fam Pract. 2013;345-9.
- 26. Bordin N. Improving function through exercise in rheumatoid arthritis rheumatology adviser. 2017. Available at: https://www.rheumatologyadvisor. com/home/topics/rheumatoidarthritis/improvingfunction-through-exercise-in-rheumatoid-arthritis/. Accessed on 20 June 2020.
- 27. de Santana FS, Nascimento Dda C, de Freitas JP, Miranda RF, Muniz LF, Santos Neto L, et al. Assessment of functional capacity in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: implications for recommending exercise. Rev Bras Reumatol. 2014;54(5):378-85.

- 28. Mihailov CI, Mindru T. Hydrotherapy and pain management in early rheumatoid arthritis. J Env Protect Ecol. 2015;16(1):328-32.
- 29. Malaviya AN, Kapoor SK, Singh RR, Kumar A, Pande I. Prevalence of rheumatoid arthritis in the adult Indian population. Rheumatol Int. 1993;13(4):131-4.
- 30. Handa R, Lewis JFM. literature review of rheumatoid arthritis in India. Wiley Online Library. 2015.
- 31. Sharma SK. Nursing research and statistics. 2nd edition. New Delhi: Reed Elsevier India Pvt Limited. 2015;20-200.
- 32. Ludwig VB general system theory. Available at: http://webhsting geeks.com/science/Ludwig-von bertalanffy. Accessed on 13 June 2021.
- 33. El-Masri MM. Blinding in RCTs. Can Nurse. 2015;111(2):9.
- 34. National Institute of medical statistics. Indian Council of Medical Research, World Health Organization. Available at: https://ctri.icmr.org.in/. Accessed on 13 June 2021.
- 35. World Health Organization. Mental Health. Available at: https://www.who.int/mental_health/publications/whoqol/en/. Accessed on 13 June 2021.

- 36. Ware J, Snow KK, Kosinski M, Gandek B. SF-36. Available at: https://clinmedjournals.org/articles/jmdt/jmdt-2-023-figure-1.pdf. Accessed on 13 June 2021.
- 37. Healthline.com. Functional index scale. Available at: https://www.healthline.com/health/fim-scores. Accessed on 13 June 2021.
- 38. Food and Drug Administration. Spertus John, KCCQ scale. Available at: https://www.fda.gov/media/108301/download. Accessed on 13 June 2021.
- 39. Jinjwaria RK, John R, Kumar A, Kumar S. A triple-blinded randomized controlled trial to evaluate the effectiveness of hydrotherapy versus land-based exercises outcome on pain among patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Int J Community Med Public Health. 2023;10(4):1474-81.

Cite this article as: Jinjwaria RK. Rajendra Jinjwaria quality of life-22 scale (RJQOL-22) scale. Int J Clin Trials 2023;10(3):259-62.